REMARKS

This paper is intended as a full and complete response to the Office Action dated July 26,

2007, having a shortened statutory period for response set to expire on October 26, 2007.

Applicant respectfully requests entry and consideration of the following amendments and

remarks.

Claims 1 and 3 - 22 are currently pending in the Application.

Claims 1, 4, 9-11, 13, 16 and 19 are currently amended in this Response.

Claim 2 is currently cancelled in this Response.

I. Claim Rejections -- 35 USC § 112

The Office Action rejected claims 1-22 under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

Applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant has amended Claim 1 to teach a toughened material that is formed by

subjecting a diamond material to a process comprising a series of cryogenic and heated

tempering treatments.

The toughened material comprises a diamond material that is a substantially continuous

The diamond material has a "material temperature," which is termed such to matrix.

differentiate the temperature of the diamond material from the chamber temperature of the

chamber of a thermal control apparatus into which the diamond material is placed.

Attorney Docket: 1157.008

Application Serial No: 10/783,933

Page 7 of 11

The preamble of Claim 1 has been amended to clarify that Applicant is claiming a

toughened material comprising a diamond material.

Applicant has also amended Claim 1 to reflect that the granules within the substantially

continuous matrix are granules of the diamond material.

Claim 2 has been cancelled.

Claims 3-22 depend upon Independent Claim 1. Because Applicant believes that Claim

1, as amended, properly points out and distinctly claims the subject matter which Applicant

regards as the invention, and because the Office Action made no specific reference to Claims 3

through 22 as indefinite, Applicant believes that Claims 3 through 22 also properly point out and

distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention

The Office Action rejected claims 1-22 under 35 USC § 112, first paragraph, as failing to

comply with the written description requirement.

Applicant's Claim 1, as amended, teaches a toughened material comprising a diamond

material that has been subjected to a process comprising multiple cryogenic and thermal

treatments.

The steps of the process are enumerated in Applicant's Claim 1, as amended, in Figure 1,

and in Paragraphs [00018] and [00022] through [00030] of Applicant's Specification, as filed.

A drawing and description of a useable thermal control apparatus is provided in Figure 2,

and in Paragraphs [00019] through [00021] of Applicant's Specification, as filed.

Attorney Docket: 1157.008

Application Serial No: 10/783,933

Page 8 of 11

The diamond material that is thermally treated to form the toughened material can be a

laminate, as described in Claim 15, which can be disposed on a ceramic, a paper, a woven fiber,

a non woven fiber, a polymer, and combinations thereof, as described in Claim 16. Applicant is

not claiming a method for forming a laminate. Applicant is claiming a toughened material that is

formed by subjecting a diamond material to cryogenic and thermal treatments. The diamond

material that is used to form the toughened material can be a laminate.

II. Claim Rejection – 35 USC § 102 and 35 USC §103

The Office Action rejected claims 1 – 22 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated or, in

the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over *Lundin et al.* (5103701).

Applicant teaches a toughened material comprising a diamond material that has been

cooled to a first target temperature at a first temperature rate, heated to a second target

temperature at a second temperature rate, cooled to a third target temperature at a third

temperature rate and heated to a fourth temperature at a fourth temperature rate. (Applicant's

Claim 1, as amended)

Lundin et al. describe an apparatus for machining metals that detrimentally react with

diamond cutting tools, in which the workpiece and diamond cutting tools are chilled to halt or

retard reactions between the diamond cutting tool and the workpiece, thereby reducing wear on

the diamond cutting tools. (Lundin et al., Abstract)

A first refrigeration means is used to chill a diamond tipped cutting tool, and a second

refrigeration means is used to chill a workpiece. (Lundin et al., Column 2, Lines 30-35)

Attorney Docket: 1157.008

Application Serial No: 10/783,933

Page 9 of 11

Applicant's use of cryogenic and heated tempering cycles provides a toughened material

with improved structural characteristics. (Applicant's Specification, as filed, Paragraph [00028])

Further, Applicant's use of controlled rates at which the diamond material is heated and cooled

prevents stressing or fracturing of the diamond material which can be caused by less controlled

temperature changes. (Applicant's Specification, as filed, Paragraphs [0004] and [00022])

Lundin et al. do not teach specific target temperatures to which the diamond tipped

cutting tool or the workpiece are to be cooled, Lundin et al. fails to teach rates of temperature

change at which the items are to be cooled. Lundin et al. teach use of a tool and workpiece under

refrigerated conditions to prevent wear on the cutting tool. Applicant's target temperatures and

temperature rates of change are selected to prevent stressing or fracturing of the diamond

material during repeated cryogenic and heating cycles for the formation of a toughened material

with improved structural characteristics.

Further, Lundin et al. do not teach performing heated tempering cycles on the diamond

tipped tools or the workpieces to prevent fracturing or stressing the material. Lundin et al.

instead solely teach cooling diamond cutting tools and workpieces, during use, for the purpose of

reducing wear.

Applicant teaches treating a diamond material by cooling the diamond material to a first

target temperature at a first temperature rate, which avoids overstressing or fracturing the

diamond material. (Applicant's Specification, as filed, Paragraph [00022]). The diamond

material is then heated to a second target temperature at a second temperature rate, cooled to a

third target temperature at a third temperature rate, and heated to a fourth target temperature at a

fourth temperature rate. (Applicant's Claim 1, as amended).

Attorney Docket: 1157.008

Application Serial No: 10/783,933

Page 10 of 11

Applicant has further included an Information Disclosure Statement being file

concurrently with this Response.

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's time and attention to this matter. Applicant

believes no new matter has been added with any amendments that have been made. Applicant

believes claims as now provided overcome all noted rejections. Reconsideration of this

application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

World Bush

Date: October 11, 2007

Wendy K. Buskop Patent Attorney Reg. No. 32,202

Please mail correspondence to:

The address associated with **CUSTOMER NO. 29637**

BUSKOP LAW GROUP, PC 4511 DACOMA STREET HOUSTON, TEXAS 77092

Attorney Docket: 1157.008

Application Serial No: 10/783,933